

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/511,450	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YOKOTA, YATSUHARI	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL ABOAGYE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1793	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

(1) MICHAEL ABOAGYE.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Donald W. Hanson .

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 November 2009

**Time:** 5:10 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1,3,8 and 10*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claims 1,3,8 and 10 were discussed, and applicant representative agreed to the examiner's proposed minor revisions to these claims as reflected in the examiner's amendment to better capture the disclosed invention and furthermore ensure claim clarity.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael Aboagye/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)